

The SPL Journal of Literary Hermeneutics

A Biannual International Journal of Independent Critical Thinking

Double-blind, Peer-reviewed, and Open Access Journal in English

Vol. 6 Issue 2 Monsoon Edition 2026 e-ISSN 2583-1674 Page no. 39-53



www.literaryherm.org
www.cavemarkpublications.com
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64846/SPLJLH.2026.6136>
ORCID <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5628-9555>



Mythic Waters and Ethical Renewal: Reading Eliot's, *The Waste Land* through Global Water Traditions

Sonali Mahapatra, Manish Shrivastava

First Author: Sonali Mahapatra, Research Scholar, Department of English and Foreign Languages, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, smhapatra7@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: Manish Shrivastava, Professor, Department of English and Foreign Languages, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, manishbilaspur@rediffmail.com

Research Article

Keywords: Water
Symbolism; Mythic Waters;
Ethical Renewal;
Modernism; Ritual and
Regeneration

Article History

Received: June 4, 2026

Revised: June 17, 2026

Accepted: July 1, 2026

Impact Factor

SJIF 3.975



ISSN 2583-1674 (SPLJLH)



Abstract

*This research paper aims to identify the symbolic, imagery and mythic significance of water in T. S. Eliot's *The Waste Land* and to analyse how water imagery contributes to the idea of ethical and spiritual renewal in the poem. It also seeks to explore Eliot's use of drought, rain, river, sea, and ritual purification as metaphors for the crisis and regeneration of modern civilization. The study is based on qualitative and analytical research methods. Primary text analysis of *The Waste Land* has been taken through close reading, while secondary scholarly sources and critical essays have also been consulted. The paper adopts mythological, symbolic, eco-critical, and ethical literary approaches to interpret the multiple dimensions of water imagery in the poem. This research finds that water in *The Waste Land* functions as a complex imagery representing destruction, purification, rebirth, fertility, and hope. The study concludes that mythic water imagery is central to understanding the redemptive structure of *The Waste Land*. Though the poem portrays cultural decay, dryness, and despair, it ultimately offers the possibility of renewal through rain, cleansing, and spiritual consciousness. Further research may compare Eliot's water symbolism with Indian sacred river traditions or with ecological readings related to contemporary environmental crises.*

***Correspondence:** Sonali Mahapatra, Manish Shrivastava, smhapatra7@gmail.com © (2026) All rights are reserved with the author (s) published by CaveMark Publications. This is an Open Access Article distributed under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any form or medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited or acknowledged. This paper is available online at www.literaryherm.org, and CaveMark Publications, India, published it.

There are very few modernist poems which represent the crisis of modern civilization as boldly as T. S. Eliot's *The Waste Land*, a work that unfolds images of exhaustion, fragmentation, and spiritual paralysis. It is written in the aftermath of the First World War; the poem presents a world in which ethical structures have destroyed and ritual meaning has collapsed. While Eliot's use of myth and ritual has been widely discussed, the poem's persistent engagement with water as absence, memory, threat, and hope offers a particularly revealing entry point into its ethical imagination. The recurring dryness of the landscape is not merely environmental; it considers a deeper moral and spiritual desiccation that defines the modern condition.

The poem opens with a troubling line contrary of natural renewal "*April is the cruellest month,*" (Eliot 1) breeding life out of a "*dead land*". In a spiritually barren world even the renewal considers harsh because modern humans have failed to truly understand and accept meaningful changes. The sense of drought intensifies in the line "*What the Thunder Said,*" where the speaker moves through a desolate terrain of "*rock and no water and the sandy road,*" a line that starkly shows the absence of water with existential abandonment (Eliot 331). Here, thirst is not only physical but metaphysical "*If there were water / And no rock*" suggesting that ethical life itself has become inaccessible in the modern world (Eliot 346–347). But why? Because modern life has lost its spiritual and moral grounding. And the wish for "*water*" -life, renewal, morality but without "*rock*" -harshness, rigidity, suffering shows that people desire meaning, but the modern world offers obstacles, emptiness, and spiritual dryness instead. That's why ethical living feels unreachable not because ethics no longer exist, but because modern humanity is cut off from the spiritual sources that once nourished moral life. (Eliot 349)

Across global mythic traditions, water has consistently functioned as a carrier of ethical meaning (Eliade 118; Frazer 12). Sacred rivers, ritual ablutions, and rain myths encode ideas of purification, continuity, and renewal (Eliade 132–35; Doniger 45). The Eliot's poem repeatedly gestures toward ancient myths, even it dramatizes as its fall. The famous image of the unreal city flowing beneath "*the brown fog of a winter dawn*" echoes heavy, dull and suffocating life, and the Thames is also presented as polluted and emptied of sacred resonance: (Eliot 60)

“The river’s tent is broken: the last fingers of leaf / Clutch and sink into the west bank.” (Eliot 173–174) The river, traditionally a symbol of life and continuity, here reflects moral depletion and cultural decay, reinforcing the poem’s ethical critique of modernity.

At the same time, water in *The Waste Land* is deeply ambivalent. While its absence signals ethical collapse, its presence can also be threatening. The drowning of Phlebas the Phoenician “*a fortnight dead*” reminds the reader that water carries the power of destruction as well as renewal. Yet even this part gestures toward moral reckoning or judgement, urging the reader to “*consider Phlebas*” (Eliot 321) thereby transforming water into a medium of ethical reflection rather than mere destruction. And why so? It is because Eliot shifts the meaning of drowning from an accident to a moral lesson. Phlebas does not die meaninglessly. When the poem says “consider Phlebas” the reader is asked to reflect, not just observe. Water, which destroys his body, also strips away illusion of wealth, pride, nationality, ambition. In death, Phlebas becomes equal to all. Eliot’s water imagery thus resists simplistic symbolism; it exposes the vulnerability of ethical life while preserving its transformative potential.

The thunder scene draws most explicitly upon global water traditions, particularly in Indic philosophy. The thunder’s utterance “*DA*” followed by the interpretive commands like *Datta*, *Dayadhvam*, *Damyata*, (Eliot 433,434) that simply situates water within a frame of ethical renewal that grounded in discipline, compassion, and restraint. It suggests that ethical transformation must occur before regeneration becomes possible. The final image of “*Shantih shantih shantih*,” (Eliot 433) drawn from the Upanishads, reinforces the idea that peace and renewal come out not through abundance alone but through ethical realignment rooted in ancient wisdom.

If we read *The Waste Land* through global water traditions and close textual engagement, this paper argues that Eliot’s poem articulates an ethics of renewal shaped by mythic memory. Here water functions as a testing symbol that expose ethical emptiness while pointing toward regeneration through ritual consciousness. The poem may appear dark and bleak but it is not entirely hopeless. It fosters the possibility that ethical life, like water itself, may return

fragmented, delayed, yet still vital through a renewed engagement with humanity's shared mythic inheritance.

The Waste Land reflects a broader crisis in humanity's relationship with meaning itself even if we look through its historical context. The poem's fragmented voices and shifting perspectives shows a world in which ethical certainty has dissolved, leaving individuals hanged between memory and disillusionment. The water imagery intensifies this condition by functioning as a measure of moral coherence or clarity. Where water flows, there is continuity, tradition, and shared purpose where it is absent, ethical life becomes brittle and incoherent. The T. S. Eliot's modern world is not actually post-war Europe, but it's a symbolic space representing the consequences of ethical neglect.

The reappearance of thirst throughout the poem suggests that the so-called modern human is aware of its own deprivation, even if it lacks the means to address it. This awareness distinguishes Eliot's wasteland from meaninglessness. The desire for rain, rivers, and cleansing water shows a remaining ethical consciousness that has not been entirely destroyed. In this sense, The Waste Land enacts a tension between loss and longing between a civilization that has forgotten its moral foundations and one that still yearns, for renewal. If we invoke water traditions drawn from multiple cultures, Eliot resists a narrow cultural diagnosis of modern decay. The ethical failure depicted in the poem go beyond national or religious boundaries, that modernity itself has disrupted humanity's capacity to live in harmony with both the natural world and inherited systems of value. The poem's engagement with global water symbolism thus becomes a means of reimagining ethical responsibility on a civilizational scale.

Eliot's The Waste Land presents a civilization who suffers not merely from physical decay but from a spiritual and ethical exhaustion. The modern world depicted in the poem is marked by shattered relationships, emotional sterility, and a g sense of purposelessness. Here we can see sexual encounters, rather than having intimacy or emotional bonding, most of the relations are mechanical and hollow, as seen in part three (The Fire Sermon) of the poem like the typist and the clerk, where human connection is reduced to routine, need and convenience. This emotional barrenness shows the physical imagery of dryness

that runs throughout the poem, suggesting that the “waste land” is fundamentally a moral condition rather than a geographical one.

The word "Drought" in the poem uses as a powerful metaphor for multiple layers of loss. It signals the erosion of cultural identity and as modern humanity appears cut off from its mythic and ritual roots. It also marks the breakdown of empathy, the characters from this poem move through out isolated, unable or unwilling to genuinely connect with one another. The natural world that once seen as a source of sustenance, support and meaning, is now reduced to a lifeless backdrop, reflecting humanity's growing alienation from nature. Most crucially, drought represents a failure of ethical responsibility an inability to care for others, for tradition, or for the environment that sustains life.

If we analyse it historically and mythically, water has functioned as the ultimate counterforce to such barrenness. Across cultures, it symbolizes cleansing after moral failure, balance restored after chaos, and the re-emergence of meaning after despair. So definitely we can say that our poet is deeply aware of this universal symbolism and deliberately structures *The Waste Land* around the tension between the absence of water and the longing for it. The poem's repeated emphasis on dryness “Here is no water but only rock” becomes a diagnosis of modernity itself, a world that has lost its ethical moisture and now aches for renewal.

Eliot's response to modern spiritual drought is not only confined within a single cultural tradition. Instead, he draws upon a wide range of global myths that associates water with healing, restoration, and ethical regeneration and more. These traditions provide a comparative lens through which the poem's water imagery gains greater depth and universality. In the Grail legend's tale, the condition or health of the land is directly tied to the moral and physical condition of the Fisher King. there is a believe that king's wound often associated with sexual or spiritual failure that makes the land infertile, rivers dry up, crops fail, and the kingdom becomes a wasteland. This myth establishes a direct link between ethical decay and environmental barrenness. Healing is possible only through the restoration of the sacred object “the Grail” or through the asking of the right question, an act that signifies empathy and moral awareness. (Weston 21–33)

The poet reworks this mythic structure in *The Waste Land*. The poem's infertile landscape echoes of the Grail wasteland, while its emotionally wounded characters mirror the Fisher King's condition. That there is a complete failure to ask meaningful questions or to recognize another's suffering becomes an ethical failure that continues drought. Regeneration, therefore, is not a matter of technological progress but of moral awakening. This narrative establishes a moral ecology in which nature responds directly to human ethical conduct.

What is especially significant in the Grail tradition is that healing does not depend upon heroic conquest or divine intervention alone. Instead, restoration requires an act of ethical recognition standing for the right question. This question is not rooted in curiosity or ambition, but in compassion. It represents a willingness to see and acknowledge another's suffering. The land will begin to heal only when empathy replaces indifference and reinforcing the idea that ethical awareness is the precondition for regeneration. Eliot's modern wasteland reflects this logic. The drought of the land thus becomes a consequence of moral silence. Still renewal is possible but only through a revival of compassion and ethical responsibility that reconnects human action with the good health of the world.

In the Hindu cosmology, the Ganga is a river of divine origin, coming directly from heaven to cleanse the humanities sin and restore cosmic order. Here water is not merely symbolic but actively transformative that capable of purifying both individuals and the communal. The Ganga represents a grace entering to human world which reconnects earthly life with divine rhythm. The river Ganga becomes a reminder for the whole world that water is sacred, ethically charged, and inseparable from spiritual life. Its presence in the poem *The Waste Land* contrasts sharply with the polluted or stagnant water of modern cities that highlights what has been lost in the modern relationship with nature.

In the Hindu mythology, the presence of the Ganga is not only an act of purification but a cosmic or divine event that restores balance between heaven, earth, and humanity. According to the Indic myth, the river descends from the celestial realm to liberate the souls of King Sagara's ancestors because their ashes lie unredeemed. The force of the Ganga's descent is so overwhelming, intense and powerful that Lord Shiva must absorb her impact within his matted hair before it's releasing her gently into the world. This tale emphasizes that sacred

power must be ethically contained in order to sustain life. (Doniger 78; Narasimhan 12; Zimmer 145)

The Ganga water is associated with responsibility as much as with grace. While she has the power to cleanse sin and restore order for this, she only demands humility, discipline, and reverence. The river is approached through ritual, prayer, and ethical intention, reinforcing the idea that purification is not automatic but conditional upon moral consciousness. This concept contrasts sharply with modern attitudes toward rivers who consider it only as utilitarian resources subject to control and exploitation. The Eliot's reference to the Ganga as "sunken" intensifies this symbolic resonance (Eliot 395). That's why the fading away of the sacred river shows the withdrawal of ethical consciousness in the modern world. Yet hope is there that there is the possibility of return. The Ganga's absence is temporary, not absolute which suggests that renewal remains accessible if humanity re-establishes a respectful relationship with sacred traditions and life-giving forces. In this sense, the Ganga functions in *The Waste Land* as a reminder that ethical regeneration depends upon humility before powers that larger than human ambition.

The Upanishad presents water as an element that deeply intertwined with self-knowledge, discipline, and cosmic unity. Where spiritual progress involves aligning the self with universal order, and water often involve in rituals of purification and contemplation. Here it suggests that ethical life is inseparable from inner discipline and awareness of interconnectedness. Eliot's engagement with the Upanishads allows him to imagine renewal not as an external event but as an inner transformation. Here water becomes both a literal and metaphysical force, guiding humanity toward ethical clarity. Eliot constructs a universal narrative of falling into drought and yearning for renewal only by bringing together these traditions. The myths do not erase out the cultural difference but reveal a shared ethical insight when moral responsibility collapses, the world dries up and when ethical awareness is restored, water returns.

The Upanishad also situates water within a broader philosophical vision of cosmic unity and self-discipline. In the poem, water is not only an external purifying agent but a symbol of inner flow, balance, and restraint. The ritual engagement with water is closely linked to practices of meditation, self-control,

and the pursuit of knowledge. Here the ethical life, emerges from harmony between the inner self and the universal order.

There are some ethical commands drawn from the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad -Datta, Dayadhvam, and Damyata these are embedded within a narrative where divine thunder instructs humanity after a moment of crisis (Olivelle 209; Radhakrishnan 53). These commands precede the arrival of rain. In modern world moral discipline, compassion, and generosity must be established before regeneration can occur. This sequence reinforces the Upanishadic belief that ethical transformation is a prerequisite for material or environmental renewal. The poet's incorporation of this philosophy allows *The Waste Land* to move beyond cultural diagnosis toward ethical prescription. The modern crisis of the poem suggests it is not solely the result of historical trauma but of unregulated desire and ethical fragmentation. The Upanishad offers restraint and self-awareness. If we align water with ethical discipline, it represents renewal as an inward process that radiates outward, restoring balance between humanity and the world it inhabits.

So, throughout the poem water appears as an object of intense yearning. The clear declaration that "Here is no water but only rock" this line of the poem that captures the barrenness of a world where even the most basic source of life is absent. It reflects the dryness of the human soul, stripped of faith, compassion, and purpose. In the poem "*The Waste Land*" water is never represented entirely as positive or kind. But at times, it also overwhelms and destroys. The drowning of the famous Phlebas the Phoenician who reminds the readers that water can also be a force of destruction, dissolving identity or loss of stable sense of self and memory. This dilemma reflects of Eliot's modernist sensibility to purification is never simple and for renewal it often demands confrontation with trauma, loss, and mortality. As the poem progresses, however, the symbolic weight or flow of water begins to shift. The fear which associated with water gradually gives way to anticipation. The repeated references of waiting for rain, for thunder and for a sign that creates a sense of suspended hope. Here water becomes a promise rather than a threat to something that just beyond reach yet deeply desired. This transition prepares the perfect ground for Eliot's climactic turn toward Hindu spirituality, where ethical instruction precedes natural regeneration.

What actually unites these diverse traditions? It's their insistence that ethical renewal and environmental renewal are inseparable. When moral vision collapses, rivers dry up and worlds fall apart. We may even consider a real-life example from the contemporary world in the pursuit of a lavish lifestyle, people increasingly cut down trees and exploit natural resources to satisfy selfish desires, often without considering the future or the well-being of nature itself. As a consequence, nature appears to respond with greater force, frequency and intensity of natural disasters such as floods and earthquakes. It's the duty of humans that we should preserve or nature as it directly or indirectly connected to our own well-being or the ethics. So, like that water returns only when humanity realigns itself with ethical duty.

Eliot understands myth not as escapist fantasy but as an ethical system encoded in narrative form. By embedding global myths within a modern poem, he offers readers a toolkit for confronting contemporary crises. Myth becomes a mode of ethical thinking, capable of guiding action in a fragmented world. In *The Waste Land*, myth functions as a repository of moral memory. It preserves knowledge about how human beings once understood their relationship with nature, community, and the sacred. Eliot's fragmented use of myth shows the fragmented ethical consciousness of modernity that the myths themselves retain coherence and authority. They remind readers that ethical order has existed before and can be imagined again. Eliot juxtaposes multiple mythic systems to reveal their shared ethical logic rather than proposing a single moral doctrine. Whether It's through the Grail, the Ganga, or the Upanishadic thunder, myth consistently links moral responsibility with the ecological balance. If it is about reviving these narratives within a modernist framework, Eliot challenges the assumption that myth is obsolete. Instead, he presents myth as a necessary ethical language for times of crisis, capable of addressing complexities that rational discourse alone cannot resolve.

The Waste Land really feels uncannily prophetic. The drying rivers, poisoned water sources, and ecological imbalance dominate contemporary headlines. Eliot questions that whether a civilization can survive while destroying its water that resonates with urgent relevance. The myths he invokes in the poem encourage reverence for water and recognise nature as a partner rather than a

resource also as an awareness that environmental collapse tied to ethical collapse. The poem acquires an eco-critical dimension that urging responsibility toward the natural world. Eliot's vision provides a modern ecological consciousness in which environmental degradation is understood as a result of our moral failure. The poem's discussed barren landscapes resemble present-day realities shaped by overconsumption, exploitation, and disregard for ecological limits. It links environmental decay to ethical negligence; *The Waste Land* challenges readers to reconsider dominant models of development that prioritize short-term gain over long-term sustainability as we discussed it in the above paragraph.

Here water becomes a moral measure. The absence of water in the form of rain and pollution of rivers reflect as humanity's failure to honour its dependence on natural systems. Eliot's mythic references invite a re-sacralization of water to urge a shift away from instrumental attitudes toward nature. The poem speaks powerfully as to represent environmental ethics to remind readers that ecological survival also depends upon moral restraint, reverence, and collective responsibility. Although *The Waste Land* begins in despair but it ends with a hope toward possibility. The final peace is earned through ethical struggle, not with naive optimism. Renewal demands compassion, discipline, and respect for sacred ecological forces. Eliot ultimately suggests that community is rebuilt through everyday ethical acts which are- giving, sympathizing, and practicing restraint. Through water, myth, and moral reflection, *The Waste Land* gestures toward a future in which healing remains possible. This movement toward communal healing marks as a crucial shift in the poem's ethical vision. The early sections of *The Waste Land* depict about its isolated individuals who were trapped within private despair but the concluding part emphasis on ethical imperatives suggests a collective path forward. Healing is not only presented as an abstract ideal but as a lived practice grounded purely in daily moral choices.

Eliot's insistence on the very small and ethical gestures encounters the modern tendency to seek grand ideological solutions. It is possible by foregrounding generosity, empathy, and self-discipline, the poem affirms that meaningful renewal begins at the level of human interaction. And about community, in this vision, is not restored through uniform belief but through shared ethical responsibility. The poem's final movement reaffirms hope not as

certainty, but as an ethical commitment that sustained through conscious care for others and for the world that sustains life.

If I have to conclude it within few lines It would sound something like this- The Waste Land reveals that water symbolism in Eliot's poetic vision functions far beyond aesthetic ornamentation. It represents water as the ethical core of the poem, through which Eliot constructs a global moral argument about the condition of modern civilization. Its absence signifies not only environmental sterility but also spiritual disorientation, moral fatigue, and the erosion of collective responsibility. On the other hand, it's persistent longing for water reflects of humanity's enduring desire for clarity, discipline, and renewal. Eliot suggests that healing in a fractured world requires more than the restoration of physical landscapes but it also strongly demands the recovery of what water has long symbolized across cultures spiritual awareness, ethical balance, and reverence for life itself.

Eliot's engagement with diverse mythic traditions enables The Waste Land to transcend the boundaries of typical Eurocentric modernism. It weaves together the Holy Grail legend, the sacred descent of the Ganga and the ethical lesson of the Upanishads. The poem also shows the modern suffering within a shared human narrative of loss and regeneration. Although these traditions are culturally distinct but they converge in and emphasizes that environmental vitality and moral integrity are inseparable. The wounded land of the Grail myth, the receding sacred river, and the thunder's moral commands it's all pointing toward the same truth that when compassion, discipline, and care are abandoned, both society and nature descend into drought.

Eliot does not present renewal as a simple return to the past. In The Waste Land renewal is conditional and demanding, it requires ethical self-examination before restoration can occur. The commands Datta, Dayadhvam, and Damyata articulate a moral framework that directly challenges modern individualism and emotional isolation, calling instead for generosity, empathy, and restraint. So only through such inner transformation Shantih or peace can be attained, not as superficially calm but as a deeply earned harmony between the self, society, and the natural world.

The modern world continues to witness drying rivers, polluted waters, and environmental degradation as a result it mirrors the ethical failures which Eliot diagnosed a century ago. The Waste Land anticipates an eco-ethical consciousness to remind readers that the destruction of water is inseparable from the collapse of moral responsibility (Garrard 14; Rueckert 75). The poem strongly urges a reimagining of humanity's relationship with nature not as a resource to be dominated but as a sacred presence that demands respect and care from community. The Waste Land does not conclude in despair but gestures toward a fragile yet enduring hope. The wasteland that Eliot implies can bloom again but only if humanity restores the waters of compassion, responsibility, and spiritual wisdom. Through the mythic resonance of water, the poem affirms that ethical renewal remains possible even in an age of fragmentation that provided human beings are in a will to listen to ancient wisdom, reconnect with one another and honour the life-sustaining forces upon which all civilizations depend.

References

- Brooker, Jewel Spears, and Joseph Bentley. *Reading The Waste Land: Modernism and the Limits of Interpretation*. University of Massachusetts Press, 1990.
- Doniger, Wendy. *Hindu Myths: A Sourcebook Translated from the Sanskrit*. Penguin Classics, 1975.
- Eliade, Mircea. *The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion*. Translated by Willard R. Trask, Harcourt, 1959.
- Eliot, T. S. *The Sacred Wood: Essays on Poetry and Criticism*. Methuen, 1920.
- Eliot, T. S. *The Waste Land*. 1922. Edited by Valerie Eliot, Faber and Faber, 2015.
- Frazer, James George. *The Golden Bough: A Study in Magic and Religion*. Abridged ed., Macmillan, 1922.
- Garrard, Greg. *Ecocriticism*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2012.
- Narasimhan, Sakuntala. *Sacred Rivers of India*. Oxford UP, 2015.
- Olivelle, Patrick, translator. *The Early Upanishads*. Oxford UP, 1998.
- Rueckert, William. "Literature and Ecology: An Experiment in Ecocriticism." *Iowa Review*, vol. 9, no. 1, 1978, pp. 71–86.

Singh, Rana P. B. *Ganga: Symbol of Purity and Source of Pollution*. Inter-India Publications, 1997.

Upanishads. Translated by S. Radhakrishnan, HarperCollins, 1994.

Weston, Jessie L. *From Ritual to Romance*. Cambridge UP, 1920.

Zimmer, Heinrich. *Myths and Symbols in Indian Art and Civilization*. Princeton UP, 1946.

Sonali Mahapatra

Sonali Mahapatra is a Ph.D. Research Scholar in English Literature at Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya under the esteemed supervision of Professor Manish Kumar Shrivastava. She is a passionate scholar, dedicated teacher, and emerging researcher with a strong commitment to academic excellence. Her areas of interest include Indian Literature, British Literature, Literary Theory, Philosophy, Myth Studies, Cultural Studies, and Comparative Literature. She is known for her sincerity, punctuality, disciplined work ethic, and deep sense of responsibility, she approaches both teaching and research with enthusiasm and professionalism. She is admired for her creative thinking, clear communication skills, and ability to inspire learners through knowledge and compassion. As a researcher, she is deeply committed to producing meaningful and insightful scholarship that bridges literature with ethics, spirituality, and contemporary concerns. With a balanced blend of intellect, humility, and perseverance, Sonali Mahapatra represents the spirit of a modern scholar rooted in values and driven by continuous learning.

Manish Shrivastava

Professor Manish Kumar Shrivastava is a distinguished academician and Professor of English in the Department of English and Foreign Languages at Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya. He is also serving as the Director of the Centre for Distance and Open Education of the university. With more than three decades of teaching, research, and administrative experience, he has made significant contributions to higher education and literary studies. He completed his Ph.D. on “The Fictional World of Thomas Hardy” from Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya. His areas of academic interest include British Literature, Indian Writing in English, Literary Theory and Criticism, Communication Studies, Postcolonial

Studies, and Comparative Literature. He has published numerous research papers in reputed national and international journals and has contributed chapters to scholarly books. Professor Shrivastava has presented papers and delivered keynote lectures at several national and international conferences. He has guided many research scholars successfully, including 18 Ph.D. scholars and 24 M.Phil. scholars. His dedication to academic excellence, research mentorship, and institutional development has earned him recognition in the field of education. He is widely respected for his scholarly insight, administrative leadership, and commitment to nurturing students and researchers in the field of English Studies.

Author Declaration

The authors hereby declare and certify that:

1. **Originality:** This manuscript is an original work and has not been previously published, nor is it under consideration for publication elsewhere in the same or substantially similar form at the time of submission.
2. **Authorship and Responsibility:** All listed authors have made substantial scholarly contributions to the conception, preparation, revision, and approval of the final manuscript. All authors accept full responsibility for the accuracy, originality, integrity, and scientific content of the work and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the publication.
3. **Research Integrity:** The authors affirm that all data, results, interpretations, figures, tables, and references presented in this manuscript are accurate, authentic, and reported honestly to the best of their knowledge. All sources have been appropriately acknowledged and cited.
4. **Ethics Compliance:** Where applicable, the study was conducted in accordance with relevant ethical principles and approved by the appropriate Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee. All applicable ethical guidelines, institutional approvals, participant protections, informed consent requirements, and legal or regulatory requirements have been fulfilled. For studies not involving human participants, animals, or sensitive personal data, ethical approval and informed consent were not required.
5. **Funding:** All sources of financial support have been fully disclosed in the manuscript. Where no external funding was received, this research was conducted without specific financial support from any public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agency.
6. **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that all financial and non-financial competing interests have been disclosed. Where no such interests exist, the authors declare that they have no known conflicts of interest that could have influenced the work reported in this manuscript.
7. **Data Availability and Record Retention:** Data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request, where applicable. For theoretical or review-based manuscripts, this statement is not

applicable. The corresponding author further agrees to retain the original research records, underlying data, ethical approvals, permissions, AI-use disclosures, and other supporting documents for a reasonable period after publication and to provide them to the Editor upon reasonable request for verification.

8. **Artificial Intelligence (AI) Disclosure:** Any Artificial Intelligence (AI) or Generative AI tools used during manuscript preparation, where applicable, were limited to language editing, grammar correction, formatting, translation assistance, or improving readability. AI tools were not used to generate research data, perform scientific analyses, interpret scientific findings, formulate scientific conclusions, or replace the authors' intellectual contributions. All AI-assisted content was critically reviewed, verified, and approved by the authors. The authors accept full responsibility for the originality, accuracy, and integrity of the manuscript. No AI tool has been listed as an author.
9. **Similarity Index:** The authors certify that the manuscript has been screened for textual similarity and that the overall similarity index is below **10%** (excluding references, quotations, and standard bibliographic material), in accordance with accepted academic publishing standards.
10. **Copyright and Permissions:** The authors confirm that all necessary permissions have been obtained for any copyrighted material included in the manuscript and that, to the best of their knowledge, the work does not infringe upon the intellectual property rights of any individual, organization, or third party.
11. **Publication Ethics:** The authors confirm that this manuscript complies with internationally accepted standards of research integrity and publication ethics. The manuscript is original, has not been published previously, and is not under consideration elsewhere. The authors acknowledge that, if credible evidence of plagiarism, duplicate publication, data fabrication or falsification, image manipulation, copyright infringement, authorship misconduct, citation manipulation, paper mill involvement, undisclosed conflicts of interest, inappropriate or undisclosed AI use, or any other serious ethical violation is identified before or after publication, the journal reserves the right to investigate the matter and, where appropriate, reject, withdraw, correct, or retract the manuscript or published article and notify the relevant institutions, funding agencies, or regulatory authorities.
12. **Editorial Rights:** The Editorial Board reserves the right to request original research data, ethical approvals, informed consent documentation (where applicable), AI-use disclosures, copyright permissions, or any other supporting documentation at any stage of the editorial, peer-review, publication, or Post-Publication process to verify the authenticity, validity, and integrity of the submitted or published work.