

The SPL Journal of Literary Hermeneutics

A Biannual International Journal of Independent Critical Thinking

Double-blind, Peer-reviewed, and Open Access Journal in English

Vol. 6 Issue 2 Monsoon Edition 2026 e-ISSN 2583-1674 Page no. 27-38



www.literaryherm.org
www.cavemarkpublications.com
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64846/SPLJLH.2026.6135>
ORCID <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-8239-5867>



A Comparative Study of Colonial Impact in Selected Novels

M. Maragadhashruthi

Corresponding Author: M. Maragadhashruthi, Assistant Professor. Department of English. SSKV College of Arts and Science For Women, mmaragadhashruthi@gmail.com

Research Article

Keywords: Colonialism, identity, resistance, transformation, E.M. Forster, and Chinua Achebe

Article History

Received: June 4, 2026

Revised: June 12, 2026

Accepted: July 1, 2026

Impact Factor

SJIF 3.975



ISSN 2583-1674 (SPLJLH)



Abstract

This research explores the multidimensional impact of colonialism as portrayed in two important postcolonial novels such as A Passage to India and Things Fall Apart. It examines both Indian and African experiences and reveals how colonial power not only invades territories but also reshapes cultural practices, fractured identities, and institutionalizes inequality. The research highlights how colonial forces disrupts indigenous traditions, creates identity struggles, and producing enduring psychological and social conflicts. Through a comparative analysis, the study identifies both parallels and contrasts in colonial experiences across different regions. E.M. Forster portrays colonial tension through interpersonal conflicts and racial barriers, while Chinua Achebe highlights the forceful destruction of traditional African societies. By applying postcolonial theoretical views, the research argues that colonialism remains a powerful influence on identity and hierarchical structures rather than merely a closed historical chapter. It provides deeper insight into how literature portrays the dynamics of resistance and transformation in colonized communities.

***Correspondence:** M. Maragadhashruthi, mmaragadhashruthi@gmail.com © (2026) All rights are reserved with the author (s) published by CaveMark Publications. This is an Open Access Article distributed under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any form or medium, provided that the original work is appropriately cited or acknowledged. This paper is available online at www.literaryherm.org, and CaveMark Publications, India, published it.

As a global phenomenon, colonialism has fundamentally altered social structures, cultures, and identities worldwide. The consequences of colonialism are not limited to the material, and political sphere but have also profoundly influenced value systems, social relations, and cultural identities. Literature uncovers the tensions surrounding power structures and identity in colonial societies. This comparative approach is adopted in this study to analyze the effects of colonialism in two significant works such as Achebe's *Things Fall Apart* and E. M. Forster's *A Passage to India*. Despite their different cultural contexts and narrative standpoints, both novels offer significant insights into the nature of colonialism. Set in pre-colonial and early colonial Nigeria, Achebe's novel offers the perspective of the colonized and highlights the impact of British colonial rule. In contrast, Forster's novel examines the tension between the British and the Indians. These texts provide valuable scope for comparison because they embody two distant viewpoints such as the colonized subjects and the colonizer.

Things Fall Apart foregrounds the social and cultural fabric of Igbo society before colonization and underscores the severe disruptions caused by colonial forces. *A Passage to India*, on the other hand, examines the alienation between the British and Indian's, highlighting the impossibility of genuine human connection within unequal power relations. In these novels, the impact of colonial rule is reflected in various dimensions including cultural breakdown, crisis of identity, social disruption, racial tension, and emotional alienation. Each work reveals how colonial dominance disrupts existing systems of belief and indigenous systems of governance, producing conflict and imbalance. By analyzing these aspects side by side, the research seeks to uncover the ways in which different narratives reflect and critique colonial dominance.

Although colonial and postcolonial literature have been widely studied, there remains a need for comparative studies that explore how colonial impact represented across texts from diverse perspectives. The majority of research focuses on colonial experience from a single perspective rather than providing an integrated analysis of both viewpoints. As a result, it leaves a gap in the understanding of colonial experiences as represented by different authors.

The central objectives of this study include:

1. To examine how colonial experiences are represented in *Things Fall Apart* and *A Passage to India*.
2. To analyze how colonial rule impacts cultural, social, and traditional frameworks.
3. To compare the representation of colonizer and colonized relationships.
4. To assess how colonial ideologies are questioned or upheld in the narratives.
5. To investigate how the authors, employ literary strategies to represent colonial experience.

This research is framed by a series of questions focusing on the representation of colonialism in the selected novels. It examines how colonial influence is represented and its consequences for cultural identity and social harmony. It highlights variations in narrative perspectives and ideological positions. Furthermore, it evaluates how power relations contribute to shaping relationships within colonial contexts.

The research is significant in several key aspects. First, it contributes to the field of postcolonial literary studies by offering a balanced analysis of both colonizer and colonized narratives. By bringing the two novels together, the research reveals the complexity and multifaceted nature of colonialism. Furthermore, it contributes to understanding the role of literature as a space for resistance and critique. Forster's work critiques the ethical inconsistencies of empire which being written from within a colonial context. Achebe's work challenges imperial misinterpretations by highlighting authentic indigenous voices. Together, the novels reveal the complexities and a nuanced understanding of colonial experience. The findings of the research contribute to a broader understanding issues related to wider social concerns. It fosters critical reading of canonical literature and highlights different narrative viewpoints on colonialism. Finally, it offers a clear analytical framework through a structured comparative approach that is applicable to various texts and diverse contexts.

The subject of colonial representation in literature has produced important critical discourse in postcolonial studies. Researchers have considered how colonial authority impacts cultural identity, social dynamics, and literary representation. A comparative reading of these novels draws upon a wide range of critical perspectives and theoretical approaches that address power relations,

identity, hybridity, and resistance. A foundational contribution to postcolonial theory comes from Edward Said's *Orientalism*, which explores how the West defines the East in ways that support imperial power. Said argues that colonial narratives create stereotypical portrayals that reinforce western authority. "Knowledge of the Orient creates the Orient." (Said 40) By constructing the East through colonial discourse, Said argues that colonial discourse transforms the East into a constructed "Other", enabling the continuation of imperial authority. Said's theory is particularly relevant to *A Passage to India*, which presents a complex mix of critique and complicity. While Forster attempts to challenge colonial views, his work retains traces of Orientalist assumptions. Drawing on Said's work, Homi K. Bhabha introduces key concepts such as hybridity, mimicry, and the Third Space to interpret colonial cultural exchanges:

It is that Third Space, through unrepresentable in itself, which constitutes the discursive conditions of enunciation that ensure that the meaning that the meaning and symbols of culture have no primordial unity or fixity; even the same signs can be appropriated, translated, rehistoricized, and read anew. (Bhabha 37)

Homi k. Bhabha's Third Space concept enables the emergence of hybrid cultural identities rather than presenting fixed cultural divisions. Bhabha emphasizes that colonial relationships produce hybrid identities that challenge fixed cultural boundaries. This theoretical perspective is especially useful in analyzing both novels, where characters negotiate shifting cultural identities. In Achebe's novel, colonial forces create cultural tensions and identity disruption. Another influential theorist, Frantz Fanon, discusses the psychological and emotional consequences of colonial domination, "Colonialism is not satisfied merely holding a people in its grip and emptying the native's brain of all form and content. By a kind of perverted logic, it turns to the past of the oppressed people, and distorts, disfigures, and destroy it" (Fanon 149). Fanon's theory illuminates the cultural fragmentation presented in *Things Fall Apart*, particularly its erosion of indigenous institutions and shared cultural identity.

In *Black Skin, White Masks* and *The Wretched of the Earth*, Fanon explores how colonialism shape identity struggles and self-alienation. "Colonialism is not satisfied merely with holding a people in its grip." (Fanon 2)

According to Fanon, colonialism functions by altering the values, beliefs, and self-perceptions of the colonized populations. Fanon's ideas are reflected in Achebe's depiction of a destabilized culture in *Things Fall Apart*. His work also highlights the subtle emotional strain in cross-cultural interactions. Both novels foreground cultural conflict and have received significant attention from critics. It is widely observed that colonialism disrupts traditional ways of life, creating tension between indigenous traditions and western values. In *Things Fall Apart*, the narrative highlights the cultural tensions reflected in the clash between traditional Igbo practices and colonial religion. In *A Passage to India*, the narrative highlights the difficulty of making meaningful connections across cultural boundaries. Overall, the literature reviewed highlights the wide-ranging impact of colonialism on society, identity, and the human psyche. The theoretical contributions of scholars such as Edward Said, Homi K. Bhabha, and Frantz Fanon provide essential tools for understanding these colonial complexities. Critical discussions on Chinua Achebe and E.M. Forster highlight the differences and unique in their portrayal of colonial experience. This literature review establishes a foundation for exploring both commonalities and contrasts in the portrayal of colonial themes.

This study employs a qualitative and comparative research design to explore the representation of colonialism in the selected texts. A qualitative approach is appropriate as the research emphasizes the interpretation of themes, symbols, characterization, and narrative techniques rather than statistical data. The comparative framework provides a clear structure for detailed comparison of how both novels represent colonial authority and identity. By examining the texts together, the research uncovers varied representations of both the colonized and the colonizer perspectives.

The study adopts a textual and interpretative method of analysis based on a close examination of the selected texts. The study examines key themes, including colonial control, resistance, cultural change, and identity crisis. It interprets the roles of key figures through context, symbolism, and linguistic style. It analyzes how colonial ideologies are both reinforced and resisted. The approach prioritizes critical analysis over the simple narration of events. The primary sources for this research are *Things Fall Apart* by Chinua Achebe and *A*

Passage to India by E. M. Forster. These texts provide contrasting perspectives on colonialism, one from the perspective of the colonized and the other from within the colonial framework.

The research incorporates a wide range of secondary sources to reinforce and strengthen its analysis. The sources include books and critical essays on postcolonial theory, along with academic journals discussing the works of Chinua Achebe and E. M. Forster. Significant theoretical works such as *Orientalism* by Edward Said and *The Wretched of the Earth* by Frantz Fanon serve as an essential part of the critical foundation for this research. These materials provide an analytical framework for examining colonial discourse and its effects. This research is grounded in postcolonial theory, which focuses on the consequences of colonialism across various dimensions. It incorporates major theories that aid in analyzing the complexities of colonial narratives and their influence on identity. Ashcroft, Griffiths, and Tiffin observe:

Post-colonial literature are all the literatures of countries affected by the imperial process from the moment of colonization to the present day because there is a continuity of preoccupation throughout the historical process initiated by European imperial aggression. (Ashcroft et al. 2)

This definition provides a strong foundation for the comparative approach adopted in the study because both novels examine the consequences of colonialism by reflecting diverse historical and cultural contexts. Edward Said's theory of Orientalism reveals the construction of the East as the "Other" in Western discourse. In addition, Homi K. Bhabha introduces hybridity and the Third space to illustrate cultural interaction. "Every empire tells itself that it is unlike all other empires." (Said 10) This statement reveals how the colonial expansion was sustained through claims of moral superiority and civilizing authority. Moreover, Fanon emphasizes the psychological consequences of colonial domination. Together, these theories support a detailed analytical lens for understanding of colonial themes.

In the selected works, colonialism is portrayed as a destructive influence that erodes traditional cultures and imposes upon them. In *Things Fall Apart*, Achebe writes: "He has put a Knife on the things that held us together and we have fallen apart" (Achebe 175), highlights the fragmentation of traditional social

structures under colonial influence. The “knife” image signifies the forceful entry of foreign systems that divide social bonds. Traditional institutions gradually decline in significance with the dominant of colonial structures. The effect of colonialism is both political and cultural, and they dismantle shared identities.

In *A Passage to India*, cultural disruption is less direct but equally powerful. British rule imposed rigid hierarchies that reshaped cultural illustrate. The lack of understanding between the groups reflects a deeper underlying cultural divide reinforced by colonial authority. Fanon’s theory corresponds to this idea by claiming that colonialism replaces indigenous culture and imposes new values. Fanon emphasizes how colonial rule dominates local structures to maintain authority. Both works illustrate how colonial narrative construct a sense of “otherness,” reinforcing racial hierarchies and legitimizing imperial domination. Forster illustrates this view through the statement of the British character: “They all become exactly the same, not worse not better. I give my Englishman two years... and he’ll be like one of us” (Forster 51), remarks the tendency of colonial officials to homogenize and dismissive identity of Indian. The colonized are viewed as indistinguishable and inferior, lacking of individuality and being less complex. These attitudes make mutual understanding impossible.

In contrast, Achebe challenges this construction by portraying Igbo society in a detailed manner. He highlights the characters with depth and dignity, directly countering colonial stereotypes. “Achebe restores African history through narrative.” (Gikandi 24) Gikandi observed that Achebe’s work redefines African history by reclaiming African history through indigenous perspectives. He argues that the role of representation is crucial in sustaining colonial dominance. Forster’s novels expose colonial attitudes, whereas Achebe directly rejects them through representation. Colonial hierarchies generate barriers that obstruct meaningful connections and foster division. At the end of *A Passage to India*, Aziz states: “No, not yet...No, not there” (Forster 306), represents the difficulty of forming a genuine relationship within a colonial context. Despite personal goodwill, systemic inequality and racial divisions make meaningful connections unattainable. In *Things Fall Apart* the colonial intervention leads to division caused by the influence of colonial systems. The theory “Orientalism” illustrates

how representation reinforces divisions between the “self” and the “other”. Both novels demonstrate that the failure of connection in relationships reflects this deep division. “Identity belongs to the future as much as to the past.” (Hall 225) Hall demonstrates that how colonial experiences reshapes cultural identities, complicating relationships between colonizer and colonized.

Resistance in both novels is marked by tragedy, emphasizing the overwhelming colonial power. In *Things Fall Apart*, Okonkwo’s suicide represents his inability to accept colonial transformation. Okonkwo’s death represents the failure of resistance against colonial forces. The tragedy symbolizes the larger collapse of Igbo society. “Achebe’s fiction affirms the integrity of African culture” (Irele 69). Irele explains that Achebe’s novel challenges colonial misrepresentations by emphasizing the resilience of Igbo society. In *A Passage to India* resistance is reflected in psychological rather than direct forms. Fanon suggests that resistance is an inevitable response to colonial oppression but often tragic. In *The Wretched of the Earth*, Fanon ideas highlight the tragedy consequences of colonial oppression. This comparative study of *Things Fall Apart* and *A Passage to India* shows that colonialism is a multidimensional phenomenon that reshapes identities, cultures, and human relationships. Achebe presents an internal perspective that highlights the loss of culture and resistance, while Forster presents a critical view of the emotional and moral failure of colonialism. Through postcolonial theories of Edward Said and Frantz Fanon, the novels reveal the mechanisms of power and the formation of identity. Ultimately, both novels demonstrate the enduring legacy of colonialism and its challenges. This research set out to examine the colonial impact through a comparative study of *Things Fall Apart* and *A Passage to India*. The comparative approach enables the research to uncover the impact of colonialism on identity and society. Drawing on postcolonial theorists, the study reveals the complex effects of colonial disruption.

The analysis shows the dual role of colonialism, namely disruptions and transformation. In *Things Fall Apart*, Achebe represents colonialism as a direct interference that fragments cultural systems and social unity. The narrative highlights the vitality of Igbo traditions and the devastation caused by colonial forces. In contrast, *A Passage to India* depicts colonialism as a subtle yet

pervasive system influencing both social interactions and emotional realities. The research demonstrates that colonialism shape identity conflicts and limits authentic human interactions. Resistance appears in both texts but in contrasting forms, with Achebe presenting tragedy and Forster focusing on psychological resistance.

The findings of the study advance a deeper understanding of colonialism as a dynamic and layered phenomenon. The comparison of both texts demonstrates how different viewpoints shape literary representation. The contrasting viewpoints of Achebe's insider voice and Forster's outsider perspective offer different views of colonial dynamics. The research also emphasizes the significance of postcolonial theory, especially the theories of Said and Fanon. Beyond literary analysis, the findings remain applicable to current debates about cultural conflict and identity crises. Despite its strengths, the study has certain limitations. Examining only two novels restricts a broader understanding of colonial experience. The research relies mainly on textual analysis, with minimal inclusion of interdisciplinary methods. Further research may include texts from varied geographical contexts to offer a better understanding of colonial impact across cultures. Scholars can explore colonialism through class-based frameworks. Integrating literary studies with other disciplines enriches the holistic interpretation of colonial contexts. Incorporating additional theoretical approaches can further explore issues of identity, and cultural exchange. Overall, the research draws attention to the multifaceted ways in which colonialism impacts individual experiences and societies. The novels *Things Fall Apart* and *A Passage to India* reveal the impact of colonialism beyond history and into contemporary realities.

References

- Achebe, Chinua. *Morning Yet on Creation Day: Essays*. Heinemann, 1975.
- Achebe, Chinua. *Things Fall Apart*. Heinemann, 1958.
- Ashcroft, Bill, et al., *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures*. Routledge, 1989.
- Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.

- Fanon, Frantz. *The Wretched of the Earth*. Translated by Richard Philcox, Grove Press, 2004.
- Forster, E. M. *A Passage to India*. Edward Arnold, 1924.
- Gikandi, Simon. *Reading Chinua Achebe: Language and Ideology in Fiction*. Heinemann, 1991.
- Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora." *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, edited by Jonathan Rutherford, Lawrence & Wishart, 1990, pp. 222–237.
- Irele, Abiola. *The African Experience in Literature and Ideology*. Heinemann, 1981.
- Jan Mohamed, Abdul R. "The Economy of Manichean Allegory: The Function of Racial Difference in Colonialist Literature." *Critical Inquiry*, vol. 12, no. 1, 1985, pp. 59–87.
- Parry, Benita. *Delusions and Discoveries: Studies on India in the British Imagination, 1880–1930*. University of California Press, 1972.
- Said, Edward. *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books, 1978.
- Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.
- Suleri, Sara. *The Rhetoric of English India*. University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- Young, Robert J. C. *Postcolonialism: An Historical Introduction*. Blackwell, 2001.

M. Maragadhashrruthi

Dr. M. Maragadhashrruthi is an assistant professor in the Department of English at SSKV College of Arts and Science for Women. She specializes in postcolonial literature, feminist studies, and comparative literary studies. Her research interests include colonial discourse, gender studies, identity formation, diaspora, and cultural studies. She has presented papers at national and international conferences and has published research articles in peer-reviewed journals. Her current research focuses on postcolonial representations of identity and cultural transformation. She is particularly interested in exploring the ways literature reflects social change, cultural negotiation, and resistance within postcolonial

contexts. She continues to contribute to the fields of English literature and cultural studies through scholarly publications and conference presentations.

Author Declaration

The authors hereby declare and certify that:

1. **Originality:** This manuscript is an original work and has not been previously published, nor is it under consideration for publication elsewhere in the same or substantially similar form at the time of submission.
2. **Authorship and Responsibility:** All listed authors have made substantial scholarly contributions to the conception, preparation, revision, and approval of the final manuscript. All authors accept full responsibility for the accuracy, originality, integrity, and scientific content of the work and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the publication.
3. **Research Integrity:** The authors affirm that all data, results, interpretations, figures, tables, and references presented in this manuscript are accurate, authentic, and reported honestly to the best of their knowledge. All sources have been appropriately acknowledged and cited.
4. **Ethics Compliance:** Where applicable, the study was conducted in accordance with relevant ethical principles and approved by the appropriate Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee. All applicable ethical guidelines, institutional approvals, participant protections, informed consent requirements, and legal or regulatory requirements have been fulfilled. For studies not involving human participants, animals, or sensitive personal data, ethical approval and informed consent were not required.
5. **Funding:** All sources of financial support have been fully disclosed in the manuscript. Where no external funding was received, this research was conducted without specific financial support from any public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agency.
6. **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that all financial and non-financial competing interests have been disclosed. Where no such interests exist, the authors declare that they have no known conflicts of interest that could have influenced the work reported in this manuscript.
7. **Data Availability and Record Retention:** Data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request, where applicable. For theoretical or review-based manuscripts, this statement is not applicable. The corresponding author further agrees to retain the original research records, underlying data, ethical approvals, permissions, AI-use disclosures, and other supporting documents for a reasonable period after publication and to provide them to the Editor upon reasonable request for verification.
8. **Artificial Intelligence (AI) Disclosure:** Any Artificial Intelligence (AI) or Generative AI tools used during manuscript preparation, where applicable, were limited to language editing, grammar correction, formatting, translation assistance, or improving readability. AI tools were not used to generate research data, perform scientific analyses, interpret scientific findings, formulate scientific conclusions, or replace the authors' intellectual contributions. All AI-assisted content was critically reviewed, verified, and approved by the authors. The

authors accept full responsibility for the originality, accuracy, and integrity of the manuscript. No AI tool has been listed as an author.

9. **Similarity Index:** The authors certify that the manuscript has been screened for textual similarity and that the overall similarity index is below **10%** (excluding references, quotations, and standard bibliographic material), in accordance with accepted academic publishing standards.
10. **Copyright and Permissions:** The authors confirm that all necessary permissions have been obtained for any copyrighted material included in the manuscript and that, to the best of their knowledge, the work does not infringe upon the intellectual property rights of any individual, organization, or third party.
11. **Publication Ethics:** The authors confirm that this manuscript complies with internationally accepted standards of research integrity and publication ethics. The manuscript is original, has not been published previously, and is not under consideration elsewhere. The authors acknowledge that, if credible evidence of plagiarism, duplicate publication, data fabrication or falsification, image manipulation, copyright infringement, authorship misconduct, citation manipulation, paper mill involvement, undisclosed conflicts of interest, inappropriate or undisclosed AI use, or any other serious ethical violation is identified before or after publication, the journal reserves the right to investigate the matter and, where appropriate, reject, withdraw, correct, or retract the manuscript or published article and notify the relevant institutions, funding agencies, or regulatory authorities.
12. **Editorial Rights:** The Editorial Board reserves the right to request original research data, ethical approvals, informed consent documentation (where applicable), AI-use disclosures, copyright permissions, or any other supporting documentation at any stage of the editorial, peer-review, publication, or post-publication process to verify the authenticity, validity, and integrity of the submitted or published work.